Message

From: Fitzmorris, Amanda [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4051A5CF28144EE599B7CB3E9C2527BF-FITZMORRIS,]

Sent: 3/19/2019 10:24:14 PM

To: Dunlap, David [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=591eb15a268249dda0c05a7451f765c3-Dunlap, Dav]

Subject: Fwd: Science essay

Have you taken a look at this?

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Amanda Fitzmorris

Confidential Assistant
Office of Research & Development
O: 202-564-5744
C Personal Matters / Ex. 6
Fitzmorris. Amanda@epa.gov

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Konkus, John" < konkus.john@epa.gov > Date: March 19, 2019 at 3:49:20 PM EDT

To: "Fitzmorris, Amanda" < fitzmorris.amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Science essay

John Konkus
Environmental Protection Agency
Deputy Associate Administrator for Public Affairs
Mobile Personal Matters / Ex. 6

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Konkus, John" < konkus.john@epa.gov > Date: March 19, 2019 at 3:41:15 PM EDT To: "Dunlap, David" < dunlap.david@epa.gov >

Subject: Fwd: Science essay

John Konkus
Environmental Protection Agency
Deputy Associate Administrator for Public Affairs
Mobile: Personal Matters / Ex. 6

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Rust, Susanne" < <u>susanne.rust@latimes.com</u>>

Date: March 19, 2019 at 3:09:33 PM EDT

To: "Konkus, John" < konkus.john@epa.gov > Subject: Science essay

Hi John,

I'm writing a story for Thursday on an essay in the journal, Science, that is critical of the CASAC review of the EPA Draft ISA on particulate matter. In particular, it calls out the CASAC chair, Tony Cox, for bucking decades of scientific precedence and literature, and suggesting that the EPA's draft and the research it cites is "untrustworthy" "subjective" and "unverified."

Does the EPA have a comment either on 3/9 letter to Administrator Cox, which makes these charges; or on the pushback he's getting from mainstream scientists? And what will the EPA do if Cox pushes forward with his recommendations - which it's not clear he has the rest of CASAC's support? Will they accept the recommendation of one scientists over more than a hundred, others? Or will they ignore it, eroding the importance of CASAC's role?

Thanks. Susanne

Reporter, The Los Angeles Times 650-804-6790